

**Committee:** Community and Housing Committee

**Agenda Item**

**Date:** 7 September 2010

**10**

**Title:** **Planning and Development Reserve**

**Author:** Roger Harborough, Director of Development,  
01799 510457

Item for decision

---

## Summary

- 1 This report recommends how the reserve be used to support delivery of services related to development.

## Recommendations

- 2 That the Finance and Administration Committee be recommended to
  - (i) Make provision in revised 2010/11 budgets for unbudgeted expenditure of £416,690 as set out in the report
  - (ii) Reserve the sums indicated in the report for the specific purposes identified
  - (iii) Transfer £123,000 from the planning and development earmarked reserve to the change management reserve.

## Financial Implications

- 3 The recommendations have unbudgeted costs. The estimated cost of implementing the recommendations is £416,690, which will be fully funded from the planning and development earmarked reserve.

The following risks and uncertainties underpinning the financial estimates have been identified:

Planning application workload is uncertain as it is expected to follow economic growth rates. Passenger throughput and consequently development pressure at Stansted on the single runway is similarly driven by GDP growth. It is not possible to estimate at present whether any income will be received in 2010/11 from the proposed New Homes Bonus.

## Background Papers

- 4 The following papers were referred to by the author in the preparation of this report and are available for inspection from the author of the report.

Ministerial statement on New Homes Bonus which can be viewed at <http://www.communities.gov.uk/news/corporate/1681467>

## Impact

5

Communication/Consultation	The Uttlesford Voices survey responses support the objectives of the proposals in so far as they are consistent with the priority placed on developing and maintaining a motivated and high performing workforce, reducing costs, planning, and enforcement.
Community Safety	None.
Equalities	None.
Health and Safety	None.
Human Rights/Legal Implications	None.
Sustainability	Development service objectives are aligned with sustainability objectives.
Ward-specific impacts	None.
Workforce/Workplace	Budgets proposed to improve efficiency of workforce through investment in IS, and to cover maternity leave

## Situation

3. The Council's planning and development earmarked reserve totals £769,600 as a consequence of the balances carried forward at the beginning on FY 2010/11, the earmarked reserve balance at the end of 2009/10, the £429,000 in Housing and Planning Delivery Grant received in 2009/10 and the savings from the G2 planning inquiry budget that was not required. It is proposed to draw down £416,690 and make revised budget provision for unbudgeted expenditure in 2010/11. This expenditure would enable investment in information systems and equipment that would support delivery of services to the customer, maintaining service standards, and improve the efficiency of staff, and in the case of maternity cover, enable the continued delivery of key services.
4. £100,000 is required to offset the budgeted HPDG income in 2010/11 that will not be received following the cancellation of HPDG. At this stage it is not known whether any income may be anticipated in 2010/11 from the New Homes Bonus that the Government has announced it will introduce early in the new comprehensive spending round.

### **Development Control – Additional expenditure in 2010/11**

5. The Environment Committee has already agreed to the expenditure of up to £18,000 on staffing costs to progress the preparation and implementation of Article 4 Directions to require a planning application for specified development to selected properties identified in Conservation Area Appraisals that would normally be permitted under the General Permitted Development Order. This will be progressed when additional management capacity is in place in the Development Directorate.
6. A temporary planning officer and two agency planners were recruited in 2009/10 to address underperformance in the development control team, enabling a strong recovery in performance. The costs in 2009/10 were covered by favourable budget variances in other budget codes. In 2010/11, however, costs will need to be covered from the reserve. There is budget provision for the proposed Head of Division post in the new management structure, which will free up capacity for professional work on major developments and in turn all other development. This should enable a reduction in the temporary resource over time. If agency planner and temporary post resources were maintained for the full year 2010/11 the cost would be £80,600. It is proposed that £60,000 be allocated for this expenditure in 2010/11 (and £30,000 in 2011/12).
7. The Development Control team is dealing with significant development proposals that require the procurement of specialist analysis and expert advice. £75,000 would be an appropriate additional budget provision.

### **Development Control – Proposed retention of earmarked reserves**

8. Within the timeframe of the Medium Term Financial Strategy, there is the prospect of fresh development proposals at Stansted Airport, either from Stansted Airport Ltd or a new owner, related to maximising the capacity of the existing runway. It would be prudent to retain a reserve of £150,000 to contribute towards the costs of considering any such proposals.
9. A reserve for major planning applications is still needed in addition to the development control budget provision for consultancy and appeals expenditure and the proposal in 7 above for expert advice. Whilst the risk of complex major new settlement and neighbourhood applications and appeals may have receded with the revocation of the East of England Plan, the risk remains that the void in development plan policy created by the revocation of the RSS without an adopted local development framework in place will attract speculative applications which we may not have the capacity to handle. A reserve of £50,000 needs to be retained.

**Information Systems – Proposed additional expenditure to be allocated between Development Control, Environment and Finance and Admin Committee budgets**

- 9 It is proposed to invest £90,000 (including £20,000 already committed) in:
- IT systems and equipment to support mobile working in the building control, development control and enforcement teams;
  - Software to enable e-consultation and auto transfer of documents from the planning portal into the electronic document management and retrieval (EDRM) system
  - additional large screens to support the roll out of EDRM project and workflow project for both professional/ technical staff in Building Control, Enforcement and Legal teams and the customer contact centre to which first point of contact development enquiries are now being transferred;
  - an additional temporary central scanning post also to support the EDRM project.

**Environment/ Community and Housing Committee - Proposed additional expenditure to be allocated between the policy committees**

- 10 It is proposed that maternity cover arrangements be made at a cost of £46,700 for key posts in the Housing Strategy and Planning Policy team. It is essential to retain capacity in this small team to adapt and progress work programmes at a time when the financial context for affordable housing delivery and the national planning policy framework and the implementation of the localism agenda is changing rapidly.
- 11 Various virements of modest sums have been made from existing budget heads to fund unbudgeted expenditure agreed by the Environment Committee in the current year such as grants to Birchanger Wood Management Trust, and measures in Claypits Plantation to mitigate the risks involved in the BMX trails activity. Essential unbudgeted expenditure of £1,600 on repairing vandalised play equipment at Woodlands Park has also been incurred. The depleted budgets need to be restored from the reserve at a cost of £4,100.
- 12 A request has also been received for a £2,000 contribution to support the Essex Biodiversity Project in 2010/11. The EBP has been active in Uttlesford in 2009/10 and 2010 to date, supporting a number of parish councils and Saffron Walden Town Council with projects, and work in the black poplar nursery in Stansted, and eradicating signal crayfish in Dunmow that represent a threat to the population of native white claw crayfish in the River Chelmer. Support to the EBP represents good value for money.
- 13 The total additional expenditure in 2010/11 set out above totals £416,690. This leaves an unallocated balance in the Planning and Development reserve of £123,000. It is proposed to reallocate this to the corporate change

management reserve to support the implementation of the 5 strategic workstreams of the Medium Term Financial Strategy.

## Risk Analysis

14

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
Revisions to budgets are still inadequate to cover necessary expenditure in 2010/11	2 Changes to national policies could have unforeseen consequences	2 Budgets could be over spent, but alternatively demands may not materialise	Monthly budget monitoring and revised estimates. Use of retained planning and development reserves.
Retained reserves are unnecessary	2 Rate of economic growth and recovery uncertain. Will influence degree or lack of development pressure	2 Resources held in reserve could have been released to support service delivery	Review need for reserves in budget preparation for 2011/12.

- 1 = Little or no risk or impact
- 2 = Some risk or impact – action may be necessary.
- 3 = Significant risk or impact – action required
- 4 = Near certainty of risk occurring, catastrophic effect or failure of project.